UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

NASHVILLE DIVISION

In re ENVISION HEALTHCARE) CORPORATION SECURITIES LITIGATION)	Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-01112 (Consolidated with Case Nos. 3:17-cv-01323 and 3:17-cv-01397)
This Document Relates To:	CLASS ACTION
ALL ACTIONS.)	Honorable William L. Campbell, Jr. Magistrate Judge Jeffery S. Frensley

DECLARATION OF KENTON DAY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION, AND CLASS COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES AND LEAD PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES

I, KENTON DAY, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Executive Director for Central Laborers' Pension Fund (the "Fund"), a named plaintiff and proposed class representative in the above-captioned securities class action (the "Action").
- 2. The Fund is a multi-employer Taft-Hartley defined benefit pension fund based in Jacksonville, Illinois that provides retirement benefits to more than 16,000 laborers and their dependents. The Fund, which has been existence since 1965, is governed by a Board of Trustees that oversees more than \$1.2 billion in assets.
- 3. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation, Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses, and Lead Plaintiffs' request for reimbursement for the time and expenses

Unless otherwise indicated herein, capitalized terms have those meanings contained in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated September 22, 2023.

incurred by the Fund in representing the Class in this Action. I became the Executive Director of the Fund on January 1, 2022. Prior to that date, Danny J. Koeppel served as the Fund's Executive Director.

I. Work Performed by the Fund on Behalf of the Class

- 4. The Fund understands that the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA") was intended to encourage institutional investors to manage and direct securities class actions. The Fund is an institutional investor that committed itself to prosecuting this litigation, through trial and appeal if necessary. In seeking to serve as a named plaintiff and class representative in this Action, the Fund understood its fiduciary duties to serve in the interests of the class by participating in the management and prosecution of the Action.
- 5. During the past six years of litigation in this Action, the Fund has, among other things: (a) conferred with Lead Counsel (Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP) and outside Fund Counsel (Cavanagh and O'Hara LLP) on the overall strategy for prosecuting the Action; (b) reviewed significant pleadings and motion papers filed in the Action; (c) met with Lead Counsel and reviewed periodic reports from Lead Counsel concerning the progress of the Action; (d) collected and produced documents for discovery; (e) provided responses to interrogatories; (f) prepared for and attended a deposition of the Fund; and (g) communicated with Lead Counsel and Fund Counsel regarding settlement negotiations and documentation.
- 6. As Executive Director of the Fund, I, along with my predecessor, Danny J. Koeppel, monitored the litigation, communicated with Lead Counsel and Fund Counsel on major developments in the case, including Lead Counsel's negotiation and approval of the Settlement.

II. The Fund Endorses the Court's Approval of the Settlement

After seriously considering the grounds for the settlement, as well as the risks and uncertainties associated with continued litigation, including Envision's declaration of bankruptcy, the trial and appeal (if Lead Plaintiffs prevailed), the Fund's Board of Trustees authorized Lead Counsel to settle this Action for \$177,500,000. Based on its involvement during the prosecution

and resolution of the Action, the Fund believes that the Settlement represents a recovery that would not have been possible without the diligent efforts of Lead Counsel. In agreeing to the Settlement, the Fund considered the real possibility that its remaining claims may not ultimately succeed, or that a jury could significantly limit the Class's damages. The Fund also understood that even if Lead Plaintiffs prevailed at trial, the Defendants would likely appeal that decision and that the appeal process would, at a minimum, substantially delay any recovery by the Class. Weighing these substantial risks against the immediacy and noteworthy amount of the recovery, the Fund believes that the \$177,500,000 Settlement is an excellent result for the Class, and that its approval is in the best interest of each Class Member.

III. The Fund Supports Lead Counsel's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and Its Request for Reimbursement of Its Expenses Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u(4)(a)(4)

- 7. Recognizing that the determination of attorneys' fees and expenses is ultimately left to the Court, the Fund supports Lead Counsel's request for a 30% attorneys' fee award plus up to \$1.9 million in expenses incurred by Lead Counsel in litigating this case. The Fund believes that Lead Counsel's request is fair and reasonable in light of the settlement they obtained on behalf of Lead Plaintiff and the Class.
- 8. The Fund has evaluated Lead Counsel's fee request by considering, among other things: the amount and quality of work performed; the recovery obtained for the Class, which would not have been possible without the tremendous efforts of Lead Counsel; the complexities, challenges, and novel legal arguments that counsel faced and overcame; and the customary fees in similar cases. The Fund further believes that the litigation expenses requested by Lead Counsel are reasonable, and represent costs and expenses necessary for the prosecution and resolution of this complex securities action. Based on the foregoing, and consistent with its obligation to obtain the best result at the most efficient cost on behalf of the Class, the Fund supports Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and litigation expenses.

9. In addition, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 78u(4)(a)(4), the Fund requests that the Court

award it reasonable costs and expenses incurred while serving as a representative on behalf of the

Class. Mr. Koeppel, other staff members of the Fund, and I, as the Fund's Executive Director,

spent 64.5 hours discussing litigation strategy and case developments with Lead Counsel and Fund

Counsel; collecting and reviewing materials for discovery; and preparing for a deposition and

providing deposition testimony. In calculating the total cost of this time to the Fund, Central

Laborers applied individual billing rates, which ranged from approximately \$57/hour to \$146/hour,

to the amount of time each individual devoted to prosecution of the Action. This calculation

resulted in a total cost of \$8,399 to the Fund, for which the Fund respectfully requests

reimbursement.

IV. Conclusion

10. The Fund was closely involved in the prosecution and settlement of the claims in

this Action, and based on its experience representing the Class, respectfully requests that the Court

grant final approval of the Settlement, Lead Counsel's attorneys' fee and expense application, and

its application for an award of \$8,399, in consideration of the time and expenses the Fund incurred

in representing the Class in this Action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct, and that I have the authority to execute this Declaration on behalf of

the Fund. Executed this 6th day of February, 2024.

KENTON DAY

lente W. Day

Executive Director of Central Laborers' Pension

Fund

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that on February 15, 2024, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I caused the mailing of the foregoing via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

s/ Christopher M. Wood CHRISTOPHER M. WOOD

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 200 31st Avenue North Nashville, TN 37203 Telephone: 615/244-2203 615/252-3798 (fax)

Email: cwood@rgrdlaw.com

Mailing Information for a Case 3:17-cv-01112 Bettis v. Envision Healthcare Corporation et al

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Henry Scattergood Bator

hbator@rgrdlaw.com

• Jonathan L. Bobbitt

jonathan@msb.law,lori@msb.law,rachael@msb.law,cara@msb.law

· Richard A. Bodnar

rbodnar@rksllp.com

• Paul Kent Bramlett

pknashlaw@aol.com

• Robert P. Bramlett

robert@bramlettlawoffices.com

• Spencer Alan Burkholz

spenceb@rgrdlaw.com

· Kenneth S. Byrd

kbyrd@lchb.com, korsland@lchb.com, kenneth-byrd-6228@ecf.pacerpro.com, slondon@lchb.com, korsland@lchb.com, kenneth-byrd-6228@ecf.pacerpro.com, slondon@lchb.com, slondon@lc

· Mark P. Chalos

mchalos@lchb.com,mark-chalos-5442@ecf.pacerpro.com

· Shayne R. Clinton

sclinton@bassberry.com,churley@bassberry.com

• Gregory F. Coleman

gcoleman@milberg.com,cbryant@ecf.courtdrive.com,gcoleman@ecf.courtdrive.com,dholt@milberg.com,cbryant@milberg.com

• Benjamin B. Coulter

bcoulter@burr.com,jcwilson@burr.com,edrigger@burr.com

• Joseph B. Crace, Jr

jcrace@bassberry.com, casey.malloy@bassberry.com, llew is@bassberry.com

• Patrick V. Dahlstrom

pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com

• Paul Savage Davidson

paul.davidson@hklaw.com,Jeannie.Vanlandingham@hklaw.com

• Amy L. Dawson

amy.dawson@stblaw.com

• Margaret V. Dodson

margaret.dodson@bassberry.com

• J. Marco Janoski Gray

mjanoski@rgrdlaw.com,tdevries@rgrdlaw.com

• Elliot Greenfield

egreenfield@debevoise.com,llin@debevoise.com,mao-ecf@debevoise.com

• Kathryn E. Grundy

kgrundy@burr.com,abeasley@burr.com

John S. Hicks

jhicks@bakerdonelson.com, trutledge@bakerdonelson.com, lkroll@bakerdonelson.com, jcalouette@bakerdonelson.com, mbarrass@bakerdonelson.com, trutledge@bakerdonelson.com, lkroll@bakerdonelson.com, jcalouette@bakerdonelson.com, mbarrass@bakerdonelson.com, trutledge@bakerdonelson.com, lkroll@bakerdonelson.com, jcalouette@bakerdonelson.com, mbarrass@bakerdonelson.com, trutledge@bakerdonelson.com, lkroll@bakerdonelson.com, jcalouette@bakerdonelson.com, mbarrass@bakerdonelson.com, mbarrass@bakerdonelson.com, lkroll@bakerdonelson.com, lkro

• James A. Holifield, Jr

aholifield@holifieldlaw.com

• J. Alexander Hood , II

ahood@pomlaw.com

· Peter E. Kazanoff

pkazanoff@stblaw.com,managingclerk@stblaw.com,4097583420@filings.docketbird.com

• Marc B. Kramer

mkramer@rksllp.com

• Laurie L. Largent

llargent@rgrdlaw.com,e file sd@rgrdlaw.com

• Britt K. Latham

nimri@bassberry.com

• Jeremy A. Lieberman

jalieberman@pomlaw.com,disaacson@pomlaw.com,lpvega@pomlaw.com

John T. Long

johnlong@cavanagh-ohara.com

• Christopher Hamp Lyons

clyons@rgrdlaw.com,e file_sd@rgrdlaw.com,clyons@ecf.courtdrive.com

Jerry E. Martin

jmartin@barrettjohnston.com,rsarna@barrettjohnston.com,elusnak@barrettjohnston.com,jmartin@rgrdlaw.com

Seth Martin McInteer

seth@mcolawfirm.com,howell@mcolawfirm.com

• Eric I. Niehaus

ericn@rgrdlaw.com,e file sd@rgrdlaw.com

· Howell G. O'Rear

howell@mcolawfirm.com,seth@mcolawfirm.com

· Karolyn G. Perry

karolyn.perry@hklaw.com,pat.grace@hklaw.com,NSHTeam3Support@hklaw.com

• W. Brantley Phillips , Jr

bphillips@bassberry.com,llewis@bassberry.com,lbilbrey@bassberry.com

· Darren J. Robbins

darrenr@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com

• William T. Russell, Jr

wrussell@stblaw.com

• Shannon Rose Selden

srselden@debevoise.com, ambartlett@debevoise.com, mao-ecf@debevoise.com

· Jarett N. Sena

jsena@rksllp.com

• Jessica Shinnefield

jshinnefield@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com,JShinnefield@ecf.courtdrive.com

• Briana T. Sprick Schuster

brian a. sprick. schuster@bassberry.com, llewis@bassberry.com, lbilbrey@bassberry.com

• Hillary B. Stakem

hstakem@rgrdlaw.com

• Christopher Stewart

cstewart@rgrdlaw.com

• Ellen Gusikoff Stewart

elleng@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com

• James Gerard Stranch , IV

gstranch@stranchlaw.com,complexlit@stranchlaw.com

· Craig S. Waldman

cwaldman@stblaw.com,2395227420@filings.docketbird.com,managingclerk@stblaw.com

Kathryn Hannen Walker

kwalker@bassberry.com, tmcclanahan@bassberry.com, rrobinson@bassberry.com, llewis@bassberry.com, briana.sprick.schuster@bassberry.com, briana.sprick.schuster.schust

Christopher M. Wood

 $cwood@rgrdlaw.com, agonzales@ecf.courtdrive.com, CWood@ecf.courtdrive.com, kmccormack@rgrdlaw.com, agonzales@rgrdlaw.com, e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com, agonzales@rgrdlaw.com, agonzales@rgr$

• Debra J. Wyman

debraw@rgrdlaw.com,e file sd@rgrdlaw.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

• (No manual recipients)